

# RISK AVERSION CLASS PROBLEM SOLUTIONS

by James R. Garven  
Finance 4335

February 5, 2019

Individual #1 has the following utility function:  $U(W) = \sqrt{W}$ . Her initial wealth is \$10 and she is offered a coin toss which pays off \$6 if the coin comes up heads and -\$6 if the coin comes up tails.

- A. Compute the *exact* value of the certainty equivalent and of the risk premium for #1.

SOLUTION: Solving for the “exact” values of the certainty equivalent  $W_{CE}$  and the risk premium  $\lambda(E(W))$  requires that we first find the expected utility of the gamble, set this equal to the utility of the certainty equivalent, and then compute  $W_{CE}$  directly. Once we know  $W_{CE}$ , then  $\lambda(E(W)) = E(W) - W_{CE}$ . Since the state space is  $((4,16), \langle .5, .5 \rangle)$ ,  $E(W) = 10$  and  $E(U(W)) = .5(2) + .5(4) = 3$ . Therefore,  $E(U(W)) = \sqrt{W_{CE}} = 3$ ; thus  $W_{CE} = 9$ , and  $\lambda(E(W)) = 1$ .

- B. Apply the Arrow-Pratt absolute risk aversion formula to obtain an *approximation* of the risk premium for #1.

$$\lambda(E(W)) \cong \sigma^2 .5 R_A(E(W))$$

SOLUTION: The “approximate” value of  $\lambda(E(W)) \cong \sigma^2 .5 R_A(E(W))$ , where  $R_A(E(W))$  corresponds to the ratio  $-U''(W)/U'(W)$  evaluated at  $E(W)$ . For this utility function,  $U'(W) = .5W^{-.5}$ , and  $U''(W) = -.25W^{-1.5}$ , so  $-U''(W)/U'(W) = .5/W$  and  $R_A(E(W)) = .5/10 = .05$ . Since the standard deviation of a fair coin toss is half of the total dispersion between the state contingent wealth values, this implies that  $\sigma = 6$ , which implies that  $\sigma^2 = 36$ . Therefore,  $\lambda(E(W)) \cong 36(.5)(.05) = .9$ .

- C. Show that #1’s absolute risk aversion is decreasing in wealth while her relative risk aversion is constant.

SOLUTION: Since  $R_A(W) = .5/W$ ,  $\frac{dR_A(W)}{dW} = R_A(W) = -.5/W^2$ ; i.e., #1’s absolute risk aversion is decreasing in wealth.

- D. Suppose that individual #2 is offered this gamble. Individual #2 is identical in all respects to individual #1, except #2’s utility  $U(W) = W^{.25}$ . Compute the *exact* value of the certainty equivalent and of the risk premium for #2, and also apply the Arrow-Pratt absolute risk aversion formula to obtain an *approximation* of the risk premium for this individual.

SOLUTION: Individual #2’s expected utility  $E(U(W)) = .5(4^{.25}) + .5(16^{.25}) = 1.707$ . Therefore,  $E(U(W)) = W_{CE}^{.25} = 1.707$ ; thus  $W_{CE} = 1.707^4 = 8.49$ , and the “exact”  $\lambda(E(W)) = 1.51$ . Since  $R_A(W) = .75/W$  for Individual #2, it follows that  $\lambda(E(W)) \cong 36(.5)(.075) = 1.35$ .

- E. Who is more risk averse, #1 or #2? Explain why.

SOLUTION: Individual #2 is more risk averse than Individual #1, since #2 has a higher risk aversion coefficient than #1. Consequently, other things equal, #2 also has a higher risk premium.