

# Portfolio and Capital Market Theory Synopsis

by James R. Garven\*

March 26, 2020

## I. Portfolio Theory

1. Mean-variance efficient set of portfolios lie along the northwest perimeter of the feasible set of portfolios, where  $\sigma_p$  is the  $X$  axis variable and  $E(r_p)$  is the  $Y$  axis variable.
2. Optimal exposure to risk is positively related to the Sharpe Ratio and risk tolerance; inversely related to market volatility.

## II. Capital Market Theory

1. From the portfolio theory, it follows that expected utility maximizing investors will (depending on their level of risk tolerance) either lend or borrow at the riskless rate of interest  $r_f$  against the (tangent) market portfolio  $M$ .
2. Thus, the mean-variance efficient set of portfolios in a world with riskless lending and borrowing is the locus of points that lie along the *Capital Market Line*, the equation for which is  $E(r_p) = r_f + \frac{E(r_M) - r_f}{\sigma_M} \sigma_p$ .
3. The *Security Market Line*, also known as the Capital Asset Pricing Model or CAPM, follows as a logical consequence of the Capital Market Line. Its equation is  $E(r_i) = r_f + \beta_i [E(r_M) - r_f]$ , where  $\beta_i = \sigma_{iM}/\sigma_M^2$  indicates (on a relative scale) how risky asset  $i$  is compared with  $M$ .
4. Thus, the expected return on risky asset  $i$  is equal to the expected return on a riskless asset  $r_f$ , plus a risk premium that is proportional to the excess expected net return of the market over and above the expected return on a riskless asset; i.e.,  $E(r_m) - r_f$ ; the proportionality factor is  $\beta_i$ . The CAPM implies that only “systematic” (i.e., covariance) risk is priced. “Unsystematic” (idiosyncratic, or unique) risk is inconsequential since investors diversify unsystematic risk away by holding combinations of the riskless asset and the market portfolio.
5. The notion that only systematic risk matters is belied by the fact that corporations devote enormous amounts of resources toward managing idiosyncratic risks; e.g., commercial property-liability insurance premiums paid by U.S. companies in 2017 came

---

\*James R. Garven is the Frank S. Groner Memorial Chair in Finance and Professor of Finance & Insurance at Baylor University (Address: Foster 320.39, One Bear Place #98004, Waco, TX 76798, telephone: 254-307-1317, e-mail: [James\\_Garven@baylor.edu](mailto:James_Garven@baylor.edu)).

to \$235.2 billion.<sup>1</sup> Putting this number into perspective, the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis reports that in that same year, total dividends paid by U.S. non-financial corporations came to \$792.5 billion.<sup>2</sup> Thus, it appears that management of unique firm-specific risks is important after all. The question is why, which is a question that we take up in Part 3 of Finance 4335.

---

<sup>1</sup>See Chapter 7, page 71 of the [2019 Insurance Fact Book](#).

<sup>2</sup>See “[Dividends paid: Domestic corporate business: Nonfinancial](#)”.